IPLA P.A.

Application No.09/840,515

Attorney Docket: 2050-06

REMARKS

Applicant has fully complied with the examiner's teaching in the Action. Accordingly, this amendment carries no arguments against the claim rejection. The objected claims are rearranged or rewritten to fall in allowable status.

Claims 1-9, 22-30, and 39-41 were previously withdrawn from consideration under restriction requirements so these claims are each labeled as withdrawn with each maintaining the original claim script.

Objected claim 10 ("claim 1" in the Action seems to be a typo) is amended so as for "signals" to read "the image signals" for clarification in full compliance with the examiner's teaching. The objected claim 21 is canceled and instead incorporated to the rejected independent claim 20 where the graphic menu has changed to "the graphic menus" in plural form as taught by the examiner.

Meanwhile, the objected claim 35 is canceled and instead combined into the rejected independent claim 31. No new matters are included in this amendment. Specification, Drawings and Abstract remain unchanged.

Subsequently, the applicant believes after this amendment that claims 10-20, 31-34 and 36 (total sixteen claims each labeled bold in the amendment) should be under the condition of allowance.

Applicant now respectively requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued for this application.

Respectively submitted,

IPLA P.A.

Date: June 29, 2005 /James E. Bame/

James E. Bame Regis. No. 44521 Tel: 213-637-5633